1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB141)

Received: 04/27/99					Received By: nilsepe											
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:											
For: David Brandemuehl (608) 266-1170 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact: Subject: Transportation - motor vehicles					By/Representing: Drafter: nilsepe Alt. Drafters: Extra Copies:											
									Pre To	pic:						
									No spec	ific pre topic s	given					
									Topic:						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Vehicle	color in regist	ration														
Instruc	tions:															
See Atta	ached															
Draftin	g History:															
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	<u>Submitted</u>	Jacketed	Required									
/?	nilsepe 04/27/99	wjackson 04/28/99														
/1			martykr 04/29/99		lrb_docadmin 04/29/99	lrb_docadmin 04/29/99										
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>												

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB141)

Received: 04/27/99

Received By: nilsepe

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: David Brandemuehl (608) 266-1170

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: nilsepe

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject:

Transportation - motor vehicles

Extra Copies:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Vehicle color in registration

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.

FE Sent For:

Drafted

Reviewed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

nilsepe

<END>

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

???dn

I think the treatment of s. 341.61 (4) is unnecessary. Because DOT does not rely on the color stated in an application, there cannot be "fraud". Because there is no requirement that color stated in an application for registration be accurate, I don't think there can be an "unlawful" statement of color within the meaning of s. 341.61 (4).

Paul E. Nilsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6926



State of Misconsin

LRB-0784/1 PEN:wlj&kmg:hmh

ASA-

1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 141

(50058/1

February 25, 1999 – Introduced by Representatives Huber, Stone, Ziegelbauer, Bock, Spillner, Kreuser, Urban, La Fave, Seratti, Travis, Plouff, J. Lehman, Petrowski, Staskunas and Pocan, cosponsored by Senators Burke, Huelsman, Decker, Roessler, Plache and Baumgart. Referred to Committee on Transportation.

(régen

1

2

3

(-Mult)

(I Note

AN ACT to amend 341.08 (2) (c), 341.11 (1) and 341.17 (2); and to create 341.08

 $(2) (cm) \ of the \ statutes; \textbf{relating to:} \ vehicle \ color \ and \ motor \ vehicle \ registration$

and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, an applicant for registration of a motor vehicle must provide a description of the vehicle, including the vehicle's make, model and identification number. Current law requires the department of transportation (DOT) to compile a list of new automobiles and motor trucks being registered for the first time after purchase from a motor vehicle dealer.

This bill requires the applicant for registration of a motor vehicle, other than a motorcycle or a vehicle having a gross weight of more than 8,000 pounds, to include the vehicle's color in the application. The bill requires DOT to include a place on the registration application form for the applicant to identify the vehicle's current color, if the color has changed since the vehicle was last registered. The bill also requires that the vehicle color be included in the compiled registration list of new vehicles.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

ASSEMBLY BILL 141

SECTION 1. 341.08 (2) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

341.08 (2) (c) A description of the vehicle, including make, model, color, identification number and any other information which the department may reasonably require for proper identification of the vehicle. An application for a motorcycle or for a vehicle registered at a gross weight of more than 8,000 pounds is not required to include the vehicle color in the description of the vehicle.

SECTION 2. 341.08 (2) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:

341.08 (2) (cm) A place for the applicant to indicate whether the color of the vehicle being registered has changed from the color specified on the certificate of registration and, if so, the new color.

SECTION 3. 341.11 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

341.11 (1) Except as provided in sub. (2) the department upon registering a vehicle shall issue and deliver to the owner a certificate of registration. The certificate shall contain the name, residence and address of the owner; a brief description of the vehicle, including its color; the registration number assigned; and the date of expiration of registration. The certificate shall be in such form and may contain such additional information as the department deems advisable. A certificate for a motorcycle or for a vehicle registered at a gross weight of more than 8,000 pounds is not required to include the vehicle color in the description of the vehicle.

SECTION 4. 341.17 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

341.17 (2) The department shall compile a list by counties of new automobile and motor truck registrations. Registrations for other new vehicles may be included if deemed necessary by the department. Such lists shall be compiled at such intervals during the month as is deemed necessary by the department but the final

ASSEMBLY BILL 141

list compiled each month shall include the listing of the last day of the month. Such list shall contain only those vehicles being registered for the first time after sale by a dealer. Such list shall contain the name and address of the owner,; the make, body type, and identification number of the vehicle; the color of the vehicle, if the vehicle is not a motorcycle or is not registered at a gross weight of more than 8,000 pounds; the dealer license number, if such dealer is registered in this state; and the date of sale.

(115/A) 3.818

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

SECTION 5. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to applications for registration of vehicles submitted to the department of transportation on the effective date of this subsection.

SECTION 6. Effective date.

(1) This act takes effect on the first day of the 4th month beginning after publication or on particles 1, 2000, whichever is later.

14

(END)

STATE OF WISCONSIN – **LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU** – LEGAL SECTION (608–266–3561)

	(insert 3-8
Sec. #. AM; J41. 335 (title) B [341. 335 (title) Notice of charge of address of a name or sec. # CR; J41. 335 (1m)	
B [341. 335 / title) Notice of change of address of a name or	vehicle color.
sel # (1/2, 341.335 (1m)	
341.335 (m) No person is required to notify the departm	ent
before the next renewal of registration for the v	rehicle
	1
that a vehicle's color has been changed from +	he
color stated in the most recent application	
TO STORED TO THE TOTAL OF THE CONTROL	
for registration of the vehicles	

1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0295/1
PEN;jlg:km

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT, TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 141

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

1. Page 2, line 21: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with

page 3, line 7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2. Page 3, line 8: before that line insert:

SECTION 4. 349.02 (2) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

349.02 (2) (c) Notwithstanding par. (a), a law enforcement officer may not stop a vehicle solely because the vehicle's color differs from the color stated in the application for registration of that vehicle. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a law enforcement officer to issue a citation for improper registration of a vehicle whose color differs from the color stated in the application for registration of the vehicle, if the difference is observed in the course of a stop or inspection made for other purposes.

(end inser)

56058/IdN
representative Brandemuehl:
makes
It This substitute amendment makes explicit that
a vehicle régistion à not required to notify
DOT that the vehicles color has been changedo
The registrant will however, be required to provide the
correct color in the next application for
registration renewalo see proposed s. 741.335 (Im).
That language make it unnecessar to state that it is
= (DoT)
That language makes it unnecessary to state that it is Not a violation to fail to notify lot you color changes
) (Such)
I cannot identify any language in the Ganter
that would be violated by such a failure to notify
or by changing a vehicle's color after registering the vehicles
Place to the state of the state
Place let me know it you are concerned about
specific languageo
4
•

DRAFFER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

April 16, 1999

PEN: Ments

LaRBa0295/1dn

5. 349.02 (2)(c)

Please check the proposed secondary enforcement language closely. It is very broad in that it prohibits stopping a vehicle for color differences, regardless of the suspected registration—related offense. I'm not sure what offense, if any, would be suspected of a vehicle whose color differed from the color stated in the application for registration. A traffic officer seeing such a vehicle could suppose that a violation of s. 341.04 (improper registration) was committed, although the wording of that section does not seem to fit these facts well, or more likely, that a violation of s. 341.61 (2) (improper display of a registration plate) or 341.61 (4) (improperly obtained plate) was committed. Please check the proposed language closely to ensure that it meets your intent.

Paul E. Nilsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6926

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBs0058/1dn PEN:wlj&kmg:km

April 29, 1999

Representative Brandemuehl:

This substitute amendment makes explicit that a vehicle registrant is not required to notify DOT that the vehicle's color has been changed. The registrant will, however, be required to provide the correct color in the next application for registration renewal. See proposed s. 341. 335 (1m). That language makes it unnecessary to state that it is not a violation of law to fail to notify DOT of such a color change. I cannot identify any language in the statutes that would be violated by such a failure to notify or by changing a vehicle's color after registering the vehicle. Please let me know if you are concerned about specific language.

Please check proposed s. 349.02 (2) (c) (secondary enforcement language) closely. That language is very broad in that it prohibits stopping a vehicle for color differences, regardless of the suspected registration—related offense. I'm not sure what offense, if any, would be suspected of a vehicle whose color differed from the color stated in the application for registration. A traffic officer seeing such a vehicle could suppose that a violation of s. 341.04 (improper registration) was committed, although the wording of that section does not seem to fit these facts well, or more likely, that a violation of s. 341.61 (2) (improper display of a registration plate) or 341.61 (4) (improperly obtained plate) was committed. Please check the proposed language closely to ensure that it meets your intent.

Paul E. Nilsen Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6926